L6: Dummy variable regression models



Feng Li feng.li@cufe.edu.cn

School of Statistics and Mathematics Central University of Finance and Economics

Introduction → Example–the data

| Salary | Spending | D ₂ | D_3 | Salary | Spending | D ₂ | D ₃ |
|--------|----------|----------------|-------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| 19,583 | 3346 | 1 | 0 | 22,795 | 3366 | 0 | 1 |
| 20,263 | 3114 | 1 | 0 | 21,570 | 2920 | 0 | 1 |
| 20,325 | 3554 | 1 | 0 | 22,080 | 2980 | 0 | 1 |
| 26,800 | 4642 | 1 | 0 | 22,250 | 3731 | 0 | 1 |
| 29,470 | 4669 | 1 | 0 | 20,940 | 2853 | 0 | 1 |
| 26,610 | 4888 | 1 | 0 | 21,800 | 2533 | 0 | 1 |
| 30,678 | 5710 | 1 | 0 | 22,934 | 2729 | 0 | 1 |
| 27,170 | 5536 | 1 | 0 | 18,443 | 2305 | 0 | 1 |
| 25,853 | 4168 | 1 | 0 | 19,538 | 2642 | 0 | 1 |
| 24,500 | 3547 | 1 | 0 | 20,460 | 3124 | 0 | 1 |
| 24,274 | 3159 | 1 | 0 | 21,419 | 2752 | 0 | 1 |
| 27,170 | 3621 | 1 | 0 | 25,160 | 3429 | 0 | 1 |
| 30,168 | 3782 | 1 | 0 | 22,482 | 3947 | 0 | 0 |
| 26,525 | 4247 | 1 | 0 | 20,969 | 2509 | 0 | 0 |
| 27,360 | 3982 | 1 | 0 | 27,224 | 5440 | 0 | 0 |
| 21,690 | 3568 | 1 | 0 | 25,892 | 4042 | 0 | 0 |
| 21,974 | 3155 | 1 | 0 | 22,644 | 3402 | 0 | 0 |
| 20,816 | 3059 | 1 | 0 | 24,640 | 2829 | 0 | 0 |
| 18,095 | 2967 | 1 | 0 | 22,341 | 2297 | 0 | 0 |
| 20,939 | 3285 | 1 | 0 | 25,610 | 2932 | 0 | 0 |
| 22,644 | 3914 | 1 | 0 | 26,015 | 3705 | 0 | 0 |
| 24,624 | 4517 | 0 | 1 | 25,788 | 4123 | 0 | 0 |
| 27,186 | 4349 | 0 | 1 | 29,132 | 3608 | 0 | 0 |
| 33,990 | 5020 | 0 | 1 | 41,480 | 8349 | 0 | 0 |
| 23,382 | 3594 | 0 | 1 | 25,845 | 3766 | 0 | 0 |
| 20,627 | 2821 | 0 | 1 | | | | |

TABLE 9.1 AVERAGE SALARY OF PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHERS, BY STATE, 1986

Note: $D_2 = 1$ for states in the Northeast and North Central; 0 otherwise.

 $D_3 = 1$ for states in the South; 0 otherwise.

Source: National Educational Association, as reported by Albuquerque Tribune, Nov. 7, 1986.

Introduction → Example – the question

- Does the average annual salary among (1) Northeast and North Center, (2) South and (3) West differ?
- Consider the model

$$Salary_i = \alpha + \beta_2 D_{2i} + \beta_3 D_{3i} + u_i$$

where

$$D_{2i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ith state from (1)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, D_{3i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ith state from (2)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Then treat D₂ and D₃ as ordinary variables and do the linear regression as usual, simple!
- This is called dummy variable regression.

Introduction → Example – the interpretation

• With above data and model, we have the following result

 $Salary_i = 48014 + 1524D_{2i} - 1721D_{3i}$

- The mean salary of teachers from (3) is \$48014. why?
- Teacher salary from (1) is \$1524 higher than the mean salary from (3).
- Teacher salary from (2) is \$1721 lower than the mean salary from (3).
- Interpretations for other quantities follow the way of linear regression model.

Introduction

→ Example – dummy variable models without intercept

• If we cream a new dummy variable

$$D_{1i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ith state from (3)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Then make regression model with D₁, D₂, D₃ without intercept, i.e.

$$Salary_{i} = \gamma_{1}D_{1i} + \gamma_{2}D_{2i} + \gamma_{3}D_{3i} + \varepsilon_{i}$$

• It interpreted as

- γ₁: average salary from (3),
- γ_2 : average salary from (1),
- γ₃: average salary from (2).
- What will $\hat{\gamma}_1$, $\hat{\gamma}_2$, $\hat{\gamma}_3$ be?
 - $\hat{\gamma}_1$ = mean salary of teachers from (3) = $\hat{\alpha}$ = 48014
 - $\hat{\gamma}_2$ = mean salary of teachers from $\hat{(1)} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_2 = 48014 + 1524 = 49538$
 - $\hat{\gamma}_3$ = mean salary of teachers from (2) = $\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_3 = 48014 1721 = 46293$

Introduction → Example – Quiz

• If you make a dummy regression with the above data as

 $Salary_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 D_{1i} + \delta_2 D_{2i} + \varepsilon_i$

• what will $\hat{\delta}_0, \, \hat{\delta}_1, \, \hat{\delta}_2$ be?

The caution

- If the qualitative variable has m categories, introduce only m 1 dummy variables if the intercept is also included; need m dummies if intercept is not included. What if you don't ? - Multicollinearity problem(in next lecture)
 - Set up a model with dummies D_1 , D_2 , $\dots D_{m-1}$ is essential equivalent as that with dummies for any other combinations, e.g. D_2 , D_3 , $\dots D_m$.
- You don't always have to use 0 and 1 to indicate dummies, you can use any others, like

$$D_{1\mathfrak{i}} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if ith state from (3)} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ or } D_{1\mathfrak{i}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ith state from (3)} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

How to interpret it then? - see Exercise 9.5

Use dummy variable as an alternative to the Chow Test

- The Chow Test review
 - The Chow Test is used to check if there is structural change in the dataset.
 - The null hypothesis: there is no structural change.
 - The test statistic is

$$F = \frac{(RSS_{R} - RSS_{UR})/k}{RSS_{UR}/(n_{1} + n_{2} - 2k)} \sim F(k, n_{1} + n_{2} - 2k)$$

• Look at this example (p.255)



• We want to check if there there is structural change in the two time period.

Use dummy variable as an alternative to the Chow Test (2)

• We can simply make a regression with dummies like

$$\begin{split} Savings_t &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 D_t + \beta_1 Income_t + \beta_2 (D_t Income_t) + u_t \ \text{ where} \\ D_{ti} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ith obs. from 1982-1995} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

• The usual Chow Test can only show if there is a change or not, but • $\hat{\beta}_2$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ will show how much structure changed in the two period.

Use dummy variable models for piecewise linear regression

• Assume we have the following data



• A straight line will no fit it well. It is better to fit it with two lines ,

$$\begin{split} Y_i = &\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 X_i + u_i \text{, when } X_i < X^* \\ Y_i = &\beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + u_i \text{, otherwise} \end{split}$$

• We can fit them together with the model

$$Y_i = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 (X_i - X^*) D_i + u_i, \text{ where } D_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i > X^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$